

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/614,145	LATHAM, WINCHESTER E.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Shane Bomar	3672	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Abandoned

 (1) Shane Bomar.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) James Richardson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2005.
**Time:** 10:25am
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney Richardson was contacted because it has been more than six months since the first Office Action was mailed on 3/24/2005. Mr. Richardson stated that the case has been abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 DAVID BAGNELL  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)